BLISS GVS PHARMA LIMITED POLICY ON EVALUATION OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS

INTRODUCTION:

The honesty, integrity and sound judgment and performance of the Directors are key criteria for the success and for building a good reputation of the Company. Each Director is expected to comply with the letter and spirit of this Policy.

As per Section 178 of the Companies Act, 2013 and Regulation 19 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 ('Listing Regulation') every listed Company is required to Constitute a Nomination and Remuneration Committee. Therefore, the Company has made this policy to comply with various provisions under the Regulation 19 of the Listing Regulation.

Any actual or potential violation of these Codes by the Board Directors would be the matter of serious concern for the Company.

DEFINITIONS:

- a. "The Act" The Act shall mean The Companies Act, 2013.
- b. "The Company" The Company shall mean "Bliss GVS Pharma Limited"
- c. "The Director" or "the Board" the Director or the Board, in relation to the Company, shall mean and deemed to include the collective body of the Board of Directors of the Company including the Chairman of the Company.
- d. "The Independent Director" the Independent Director shall mean an Independent Director as defined under section 2 (47) to be read with section 149 (5) of the Act and regulation 16 (1) (b) of Listing Regulation.
- e. **"The Policy"** or **"this Policy"** The policy or This Policy shall mean the Policy for Evaluation of Performance of Board of Directors of the Company.
- f. "The Committee" or "This Committee" The Committee or This Committee shall mean the Nomination and Remuneration Committee of the Board of Directors formed under the provisions of Section 178 of Companies Act, 2013 and regulation 19 of listing regulation.

OBJECTIVES:

- A. To formulate criteria for determining qualifications, positive attributes and independence of a Director(s).
- B. Criteria for evaluation of Board, Committees, Independent Directors and Individual Director.

C. Achieve good corporate governance as well as sustained long-term value creation for stakeholders.

QUALIFICATIONS FOR APPOINTMENT OF DIRECTORS:

Subject of the Companies Act, 2013 and all other applicable laws the Company while appointing its Directors shall consider the following:

- i. The person to be appointed as Director should be a Person of eminence, standing and knowledge with significant achievements in business, professions and/or public service.
- ii. The person to be appointed as Director of the Company should possess requisite business literacy/skill/experience.
- iii. The person to be appointed as Director should be open to learning.
- iv. The person to be appointed as Director should possess strong ethics and kindness to treat people with respect.

Additional requirements for Independent Directors:

- i. In the opinion of the Board, is a person of integrity and possesses relevant expertise and experience;
- ii. Is or was not a promoter of the Company or its Holding, Subsidiary or Associate Company or member of the promoter group of the Company;
- iii. Is not related to Promoters or Directors in the Company, its Holding, Subsidiary or Associate Company;
- iv. Is not a Non-Independent Director of another Company on the board of which any non-independent director of the Company is an Independent Director;
- v. Apart from receiving director's remuneration, he should not have any material pecuniary relationship with the Company, its holding, subsidiary or associate Company, or their promoters, or directors, during the two immediately preceding financial years or during the current financial year;
- vi. None of his relatives shall have any pecuniary relationship or transaction with the Company, its holding, subsidiary or associate Company, or their promoters, or directors, amounting to two per cent or more of its gross turnover or total income or fifty lakh rupees or such higher amount as may be

- prescribed, whichever is lower, during the two immediately preceding financial years or during the current financial year;
- vii. Neither he nor his relative shall hold the position of key managerial personnel or is or has been employee of the Company or its holding, subsidiary or associate Company in any of the three financial years immediately preceding the financial year in which he is proposed to be appointed;
- viii. Neither he nor his relative has been an employee or proprietor or a partner of a firm of auditors or company secretaries in practice or cost auditors of the Company or its holding, subsidiary or associate Company; or any legal or a consulting firm that has or had any transaction with the Company, its holding, subsidiary or associate Company amounting to ten per cent or more of the gross turnover of such firm, in any of the three financial years immediately preceding the financial year in which he is proposed to be appointed;
- ix. He along with his relatives should not hold two per cent or more of the total voting power of the Company;
- x. Neither he nor his relative should be a Chief Executive or director, by whatever name called, of any non-profit organization that receives twenty five percent or more of its receipts from the Company, any of its promoters, directors or its holding, subsidiary or associate company or that holds two percent or more of the total voting power of the Company;
- xi. Neither he nor his relative should be a material supplier, service provider or customer or a lessor or lessee of the Company;
- xii. Who is not less than 21 years of age.

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION:

A. BOARD:

Rating Scale:					
1. Below I	1. Below Expectation 2. Meet Expectation 3. E		3. Ex	xceed Expectation	
Sl. No.	<u>Evalua</u>	tion Factors		<u>Rating</u>	
1	The Composition of the Board is appropriate and in compliance with the legal requirements of the Companies Act, 2013 and SEBI (LODR) Regulations, 2015.				
2	Adequacy of freque attendance and participat the board meetings.	ency of Board meeti pation by the Board memb	J .		
3	The Board has approprious knowledge and diversity	riate combination of indu /.	ıstry		
4	The Board is provide suggestions to the Com	,	and		
5	The Board understands obligations under which	the legal requirements they act as a Board.	and		
6	·	performance of the Compoperations and at the st			
7	periodically to assess	the strategic plan/poli the company's performa rtunities and responds	nce,		
8	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ely considers Internal A esponse and steps towa			
9	The Board considers the provides recommendati	e Independent Audit plan ons.	and		
10		e conducted in a manner communication, meaning resolution of issues.			

B. AUDIT COMMITTEE:

Rating Scale:				
1. Below Expectation 2. I		2. Meet Expectation	3. Exc	eed Expectation
<u>Sl. No.</u>	<u>Eval</u>	uation Factors		Rating
1	The Audit Committe	e of the Board is appropr	iately	
	constituted and con	nposition is in compliance	with	
	the legal requirement	nt of the Companies Act,	2013	
	and SEBI (LODR) Reg	gulations, 2015.		
2	The frequency of	the Committee meeting	gs is	
	adequate.			
3	The Audit Commi	ttee oversight the fina	ancial	
	reporting process and the disclosure of its financial			
	information to ensure that the financial statement			
	is correct, sufficient and credible.			
4	The Audit Con	nmittee recommends	the	
	appointment, remuneration and terms of			
		itors of the Company.		
5		ns, questions and enquiri		
	ensure transparency of operations, governance,			
		tions and financial reporti		
6		ee reviews the Internal		
	report and recommends necessary steps towards			
	improvement to the Board.			
7		recommendations by	the	
	Committee to the Bo	oard are sufficient.		

C. NOMINATION AND REMUNERATION COMMITTEE ('NRC')

Rating	Rating Scale:					
1. Below Expectation 2. Meet Expectation 3. Exceed Ex			pectation			
<u>SI.</u>		Evaluation Factors		<u>Rating</u>		
No.						
1	The NRC of the Bo	oard is appropriately cons	tituted and			
	composition is in co	mpliance with the legal req	uirement of			
	the Companies Act, 2	the Companies Act, 2013 and SEBI (LODR) Regulations, 2015.				
2	The terms of referer	The terms of reference for the committee are appropriate				
	with clear defined roles and responsibilities.					
3	The frequency of the Committee meetings is adequate.					
4	The NRC is Identifying qualifications, positive attributes and					
	independence of a person who may be appointed as Director					
	or may be appointed in level of Senior Management of the					
	Company.					
5	The reporting/ recommendations by the Committee to the					
	Board is sufficient.					

D. STAKEHOLDERS RELATIONSHIP COMMITTEE ('SRC')

Rating	Rating Scale:					
1. Below Expectation 2. Meet Expectation 3. Exceed Ex			pectation			
<u>SI.</u>	Evaluation Factors			<u>Rating</u>		
No.						
1	The SRC of the Bo	oard is appropriately cons	tituted and			
	composition is in cor	mpliance with the legal req	uirement of			
	the Companies Act, 2013 and SEBI (LODR) Regulations, 2015.					
2	The terms of reference for the committee are appropriate					
	with clear defined roles and responsibilities.					
3	The frequency of the Committee meetings is adequate.					
4	The SRC review the grievances of the shareholders relating to					
	transfer/transmission of shares, non-receipt of annual reports,					
	non-receipt of declared dividends, issue of new/duplicate					
	certificates, general meetings etc.					
5	The reporting/ recon	nmendations by the Comm	ittee to the			
	Board is sufficient, if a	ny.				

E. CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY COMMITTEE ('CSR')

Rating Scale:				
1. Below Expectation		2. Meet Expectation	3. Exceed Expectation	
Sl. No.	<u>Eval</u>	uation Factors		<u>Rating</u>
1		rd is appropriately cons in compliance with the ompanies Act, 2013.		
2		rence for the committe clear defined roles		
4	•	proving CSR budget on e expenditure made on r	,	
5	The Committee amer whenever required.	nds the policy from time t	o time	

F. CHAIRMAN, MANAGING DIRECTOR, NON-EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Rating	Rating Scale:					
1. Belov	1. Below Expectation 2. Meet Expectation 3. Exceed Ex		pectation			
<u>SI.</u>	Evaluation Factors			<u>Rating</u>		
No.						
1		or hold necessary budgeting,				
	operational expertise	required to lead the organiza	ation.			
2		or has accurately commu				
	concept, vision, mission	on, strategy, goals, and direct	tions for the			
	Company.					
3	The attendance of [Director at the meeting of	Board and			
	meetings of Committe	ees of Board, if any.				
4		tor contributes positive i	•			
	development of strategy, and better governance practices for					
	the Company.					
5	•	or has ensured that, the boar				
	and other Senior Management employees had participated in					
	formulation of strategic plan so that they had the ownership					
_	of the plans.					
6		or has ensured that, the				
		with the requirements fr	om all the			
_	relevant laws and reg					
7	He/she is reporti	ng frauds, violation etc	to the			
	Board/Committees.					
8		od understanding of the	Company's			
	business as well as inc					
9		or is providing timely inp				
	minutes of the meetir	ngs of the Board and Commit	tee.			

G. INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS:

Rating Scale:					
1. Below	1. Below Expectation 2. Meet Expectation 3. Ex			ceed Expectation	
<u>No.</u>	Evaluation Factors		<u>Rating</u>		
1	Attendance of Indeper	ndent Director at the mee	eting		
	of Board and meetings of Committees of Board, if				
	any.				
2	The Independent Dire	ector listen to the view	s of		
	others.				
3	The Independent Direc	ctor actively participates a	t the		
	meetings and renders	s independent and unbi	ased		
	opinions at the meetin	gs.			

4	The Independent Director contributes positive inputs into development of strategy, better governance practices and safeguarding of confidential information of the Company.	
5	The Independent Director is updated with the latest developments in areas such as the corporate governance framework and financial reporting.	
6	The Independent Director is providing timely inputs on the minutes of the meetings of the Board and Committee's.	

- The performance evaluation of Board shall be done by the Independent Directors.
- The performance evaluation of Committee shall be done by the Board of Directors (excluding the Committee Members being evaluated).
- The performance evaluation of Chairman, Managing Director, Non-Executive Director and Executive Director shall be done by the Independent Directors (excluding the director being evaluated).
- The performance evaluation of Independent Directors shall be done by the entire Board of Directors (excluding the director being evaluated).

REVIEW OF THIS POLICY:

The Nomination and Remuneration Committee will review this Policy, as appropriate, to ensure the effectiveness of this Policy.
